## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 200

## (Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Borg v. Cloutier	82
Private nuisance; invasion of privacy; defamation; defamation per se; trespass; punitive damages; permanent injunction; motion for contempt; claim that trial court abused its discretion in failing to set aside jury's verdict; whether trial court failed to inquire adequately into possible juror misconduct; claim that trial court improperly awarded punitive damages to defendant; whether trial court correctly determined that permanent injunction was warranted; claim that trial	
court improperly held named plaintiff in contempt for failing to comply with	
its permanent injunction order.	
Budrawich v. Budrawich	229
(§ 11-19) to issue decision on plaintiff's motion for order; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's motion to modify alimony; whether trial court properly found that plaintiff met her burden to establish substantial change in circumstances; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion to correct and issued corrected memorandum of decision; clarity of court's construction of alimony provision in dissolution judgment; whether language of alimony provision relieved plaintiff of burden to demonstrate substantial change in cir-	
cumstances; mootness; claim that trial court improperly granted defendant's motion for downward modification of alimony.	
Clinton v. Aspinwall	205
Breach of contract; motion to set aside verdict; motion for judgment not withstanding verdict; whether trial court improperly construed agreement and erred in denying posttrial motions; whether trial court improperly instructed jury regarding provision of agreement; harmless error; whether trial court improperly awarded attorney's fees and costs.	200
Davis v. Davis	180
Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court provided defendant with adequate notice that it might dispose of plaintiff's motion for modification of alimony at certain hearing; claim that trial court improperly ordered reimbursement to plaintiff for expenses related to fixing septic system at marital residence; claim that trial court abused its discretion by failing to consider plaintiff's conduct relative to defendant's efforts to make repairs himself; unpreserved claim that trial court failed to apply unclean hands doctrine; whether trial court failed to apply statute (§ 46b-224) governing suspension of child support order in calculating child support arrearage; claim that trial court improperly refused to deduct from arrearage amount for period of time parties' minor child was in defendant's	100
custody.  Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction	524
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying certification to appeal from judgment denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly denied motion for evidentiary hearing to preclude certain testimony; claim that prosecutor at petitioner's criminal trials intentionally committed violations of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83); claim that prosecution of petitioner at second criminal trial constituted violation of right against double jeopardy; claim that defense counsel at second criminal trial had conflict of interest pursuant to statute (§ 54-1f); claim that counsel at second criminal trial rendered ineffective assistance.	92.
Ferri v. Powell-Ferri	63

that it lacked probable cause or was frivolous; whether trial court correctly deter- mined that lack of precedent in other jurisdictions did not render cross complaint as being without probable cause.	
Giordano v. Giordano	130
Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify alimony; motion for contempt; claim that trial court erred in interpreting separation agreement to include defendant's	100
supplemental pension as basis for modification of alimony; claim that agreement unambiguously did not include supplemental pension as part of gross annual compensation; claim that trial court erred in failing to interpret separation	
agreement as distributing supplemental pension to defendant at time of dissolu- tion; whether trial court abused its discretion in modifying alimony award;	
whether trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for contempt.	
Hill v. OSJ of Bloomfield, LLC	149
Shop, Inc. (281 Conn. 768), where boxes fell off shelf in defendant's store and struck plaintiff; whether evidence was sufficient to establish that affirmative act on part of defendant caused boxes to fall on plaintiff.	
HSBC Bank USA, National Assn. v. Gilbert	335
Foreclosure; whether trial court erred in granting motion for summary judgment	999
as to liability; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendants received proper notice of default and acceleration of note; claim that	
trial court erred when it relied in part on representations of counsel as to facts related to error in conjunction with electronic filing; claim that trial court erred	
in relying on plaintiff's affidavits in support of motion for summary judgment; claim that plaintiff's affidavit of debt did not comply with holding of Jenzack	
Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (183 Conn. App. 128), because affiant lacked personal knowledge as to starting balance of debt.	
In re Elizabeth W. (Memorandum Decision)	901
Maldonado v. Flannery	1
Negligence; personal injury; damages; motion for additur; claim that trial court abused its discretion in granting plaintiffs' joint motion for additurs; failure of trial court to identify part of trial record that supported its conclusion that jury's failure to award noneconomic damages was unreasonable; whether jury	
reasonably could have determined that plaintiffs failed to prove any noneconomic damages for pain and suffering.	
Manere v. Collins	356
Breach of contract; breach of fiduciary duty; dissolution of limited liability company;	
claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant limited liability com- pany's counterclaim stated claim on which relief could be granted; whether defend- ant pleaded facts which sufficiently alleged claim of breach of fiduciary duty;	
whether trial court improperly applied six year statute of limitations to defend-	
ant's counterclaim; whether defendant properly alleged action for accounting; whether trial court improperly rejected plaintiff's application to dissolve defend-	
ant limited liability company on ground of oppression pursuant to statute (§ 34-267 (a) (5)).	
McLoughlin v. Planning & Zoning Commission	307
Zoning; appeal from decision of defendant planning and zoning commission denying plaintiffs' application for special permit to construct crematory in industrial	
zone; claim that Superior Court improperly concluded that there was substantial	
evidence in record to support commission's denial of application; claim that	
commission improperly failed to consider special permit application on merits;	
whether commission predetermined its denial of application.	
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Memorandum Decision)	901
Norwich v. Loskoutova (Memorandum Decision)	902
Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction	296
at time he filed habeas petition; claim that sentences petitioner was serving and sentence on convictions he had completed when he filed habeas petition should	
be treated as consecutive sentences under Garlotte v. Fordice (515 U.S. 39) for	
purpose of advancing his release date.	
Rider $v$ . Rider	466
Quiet title; fraud; breach of fiduciary duty; whether trial court properly granted motions to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because plaintiff lacked	

standing; claim that, because Probate Court lacked statutory (§ 45a-646) author-	
ity to appoint conservator, all subsequent actions of Probate Court were void ab	
initio; claim that plaintiff had standing to bring certain claims that he alleged	
arose subsequent to resolution of his bankruptcy proceedings.	
Ruscoe $v$ . Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
Silver v. Silver	505
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court abused its discretion by opening and	
modifying dissolution judgment in granting plaintiff's motion to "clarify and	
effectuate" dissolution judgment when plaintiff did not request such relief;	
$whether {\it trial court modified}, {\it rather than clarified}, {\it dissolution judgment}; whether$	
trial court properly exercised its statutory (§ 52-212a) authority to open and	
$modify\ dissolution\ judgment.$	
Sosa v. Robinson	264
Alleged deprivation of plaintiff's federal constitutional rights; whether trial court	
$erred\ when\ it\ dismissed\ for\ lack\ of\ subject\ matter\ jurisdiction\ counts\ of\ complaint$	
that sought compensatory relief against defendant correctional institution	
employee in his individual capacity; whether defendant was entitled to summary	
judgment on count of complaint that alleged retaliation where plaintiff failed to	
submit evidence to create genuine issue of material fact that there was causal	
connection between his protected first amendment activity and adverse employ-	
ment action; whether plaintiff failed to demonstrate existence of genuine issue	
of material fact as to defendant's discriminatory intent where plaintiff's prior	
$termination\ from\ job\ as\ commissary\ line\ worker\ constituted\ legitimate,\ nondis-$	
$criminatory\ reason\ for\ denial\ of\ his\ application\ for\ employment\ in\ commissary;$	
whether plaintiff's takings claim failed as matter of law where plaintiff neither	
alleged nor submitted any evidence regarding appropriation of property or any	
evidence of unconstitutional taking.	
State v. Castro	450
Murder; unpreserved claim that counsel's waiver of defendant's confrontation right	
was invalid because trial court failed to make finding that counsel's decision	
was legitimate trial tactic or part of prudent trial strategy; claim that right to	
confrontation can only be personally waived by defendant; claim that article	
first, § 8, of state constitution provides greater protection than federal constitu-	
tion, rejected.	
State v. Curet	13
Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; motion to suppress; whether trial court	
properly denied defendant's motion to suppress; whether trial court properly	
concluded that search was lawful under exigent circumstances exception to war-	
rant requirement; whether trial court properly concluded that search was justified	
under emergency doctrine.	
State v. Rivera (AC 42388)	401
Breach of peace in second degree; whether defendant's sufficiency claim was unre-	
viewable because state claimed that defendant, through counsel, explicitly waived	
right to have state prove beyond reasonable doubt that altercation occurred in	
public place under applicable statute (§ 53a-181 (a) (1)) by conceding that ele-	
ment during closing argument; claim that evidence was insufficient to support	
$finding\ that\ conduct\ giving\ rise\ to\ conviction\ occurred\ in\ public\ place\ for\ purposes$	
of § 53a-181 (a) (1).	
State v. Rivera (AC 43411)	487
Murder; conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; unlawful restraint in first	
degree; unlawful discharge of firearm; carrying pistol without permit; whether	
trial court abused its discretion in limiting defense counsel's closing arguments;	
whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting copy of cell phone recording	
containing defendant's confession; whether trial court should exercise supervi-	
sory powers to heighten requirements for admission of copies of digital evidence.	
State v. Syms	55
Motion to correct illegal sentence; robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit	
robbery in first degree; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's	
rights to due process when it accepted his guilty pleas without advising him that	
sentence could run consecutively to unrelated sentence he was then serving; claim	
that combination of sentence of incarceration followed by special parole violated	
federal prohibition against double jeopardy.	
State v. Williams	427
Sexual assault in first degree; sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child;	
alguments at determinant argue demonated of an abt to take tweet an argument of more constowed	

impropriety during direct examination and closing arguments; unpreserved claim that there was insufficient evidence for jury to find beyond reasonable doubt that victim was under ten years of age at time of first sexual assault to  $support\ mandatory\ minimum\ sentence\ imposed\ by\ trial\ court\ pursuant\ to\ statute$ (§ 53a-70).

Stilkey v. Zembko . .

mine that no party was prejudiced by lapse in pleading specific statute of limitations or continuing course of conduct doctrine; claim that trial court improperly concluded that continuing course of conduct doctrine tolled statute of limitations; claim that trial court's findings that plaintiff had no knowledge of defendant's actions and had not consented to or authorized them were clearly erroneous.